



Online Course

Title IX Coordinator Training

Module 5: Investigative Skills

Bindu Jayne, Title IX Coordinator, Swarthmore College

Lucy France, General Counsel, University of Montana

Melissa Carleton, Partner and Higher Ed Co-Chair, Bricker Graydon LLP

Investigation Mission

- Neutral/Impartial
- Free From Bias or Prejudgment
- Thorough
- Do No Harm
- Trauma Informed
- Accurately Documented

How can I make interviewees more comfortable?

Agency

“The capacity, condition, or state of acting or of exerting power”



Checking In

- Be genuine
- Acknowledge Stress
- Warm up



Provide Information



- Explain your role as a neutral
- Explain their role (puzzle piece)
- Note taking/recording
- Anticipated length of interview
- Rest of process
- Right to representation
- Advise about retaliation
- Do they have questions

Active Listening



- 100% of your attention
- Eliminate distractions
- Ask for clarifications
- Ask for details

Professionally Empathetic



- Moderate Pace/Breaks
- Limit Comments
- Don't share
- Don't judge
- Acknowledge difficulty
- Set tone

Get All of the Information to Complete the Puzzle

- Physical Evidence
- Documentary Evidence
 - Social media
 - Police reports
 - Diary entry
- Demonstrative Evidence
 - Photos
 - Videos
 - Maps
 - Security footage
- Verbal Evidence
 - Witness Statements



Thorough Preparation

- Chronology
- Outline
- Checklist
- Documents
- Structure

Investigation Checklist

- Survivor's name or anonymity requested
 - Place of occurrence
 - Nature of occurrence
 - Time of occurrence
 - Time of reporting
 - Alcohol involved: Drugs involved
 - Physical Injury
 - Name of accused; known or unknown
 - Other crimes evidence/priors
 - Complainant's description of event
 - Names of witnesses
 - Interviews of all parties
 - Prior contacts between complainant and accused
 - School records
 - Intimidation attempts
 - Court / Cease & Desist Orders
- Physical Evidence:
- Injury / Medical Evidence - records
 - Security Monitoring Records / Visitor Logs / Audio-Video recordings
 - Telephone records
 - Voicemail
 - Text / E-mail / Social Media
 - Clothing / Tangible Objects
 - Any other physical / forensic evidence
- 911 Tape
 - Photographs of the scene
 - Photographs of injuries
 - Advised re: law enforcement report
 - Advised re: preservation and medical treatment
 - Advised re: counseling
 - Concerns regarding safety of community
 - Discharge Title IX responsibilities
 - Discharge Clery responsibilities

The Basics

- Presumption that respondent is not responsible for alleged sex discrimination until a determination is made at conclusion of grievance procedures
- Burden on the school, not the parties
- Equal opportunity for parties to present witnesses and evidence
- Equal opportunities for parties to review evidence
- Equal opportunities for parties to be accompanied by an advisor
- Objective evaluation of all relevant evidence
- Apply standard of evidence to evaluation facts

Impermissible Evidence

2020 Regs

- Party's records made or maintained by a physician, psychiatrist, psychologist, or other recognized professional or paraprofessional... made or maintained in connection with treatment to a party without party's written consent

2024 Regs

- Protected by a legal privilege
- Provided to a confidential employee
- Records maintained by a recognized medical professional
- Relating to complainant's sexual interests or prior sexual conduct (limited exception)

Details are Important

- What, When, Where?
- How did it make you feel?
- Who was there? What did they see?
- Any recordings? Contemporaneous texts, social media?
- What words were exchanged?
- Nature of relationship between parties?

Establish Rapport/Rhythm

- Prompt with questions; Follow their train
- Ask clarifying questions
- Probe for details
- Worry about relevancy later
- Balance tracking and being open to new leads
- Be comfortable with silence

Credibility Assessments

- Is the witness's narrative logical?
- Is there a motive to falsify? (bias, interest, other motive)
- Is there a witness or other evidence to corroborate?
- Is there evidence that is inconsistent with the interview statement?
 - How major or minor are the inconsistencies
 - Is there a reasonable explanation for the inconsistencies?
- Is there continuing harassing behavior?
- Does either party have a pattern of past similar behavior?
- Was the witness in the best position to perceive matters about which they testified?
- Did the person seem to be telling the truth: why or why not?
- Consider the impact of trauma?

Asking Hard Questions

- Preface hard questions
- Break them down
- Avoid questions implying judgment: “Why?”
- Focus on details
 - Senses
 - What were they thinking
 - How did they feel
 - Facts, not explanation
- Reestablish witness agency

Motive

- You may consider whether a witness had, or did not have, a motive to lie.
- If a witness had a motive to lie, you may consider whether and to what extent, if any, that motive affected the truthfulness of that witness's testimony.
- If a witness did not have a motive to lie, you may consider that as well in evaluating the witness's truthfulness.

Preponderance of the Evidence

- What are the elements to establish a violation?
 - Sexual contact/conduct
 - Welcome/unwelcome or consent/unconsented
 - Differences for hostile environment
 - Other discrimination
- As to each element
 - Balance of probabilities
 - More likely than not

Matters of Evidence

- Preponderance of the evidence is determined by the superior weight of all the evidence
 - This is not necessarily determined by a greater number of witnesses
 - Consider quality of the evidence
 - Consider opportunity for knowledge, information possessed
 - Consider credibility (with caution)

Types of Evidence

- Direct
 - Based on personal knowledge or observation, that if true, proves a fact without inference or presumption.
- Circumstantial
 - Based on inference and not on personal knowledge or observation.
- Corroborating
 - Evidence that differs from but strengthens or confirms what other evidence shows.

Alcohol and Drugs

- BAC
- Strength of Drink
- Weight, gender and food
- Rate of Consumption. Studies have shown that an adult male can metabolize one drink (1.5 oz. of 80 proof) in about 2 hours. 4 drinks in about 7 hours – resulting in BAC of 0.
- Medication
- Fatigue

How does consumption of alcohol affect witness evidence?

Trauma Informed Interviews

- Consider that trauma might influence an individual's ability to recall an event or information about an event
- Trauma might influence how and when an individual recalls information about an event
- Be sure to offer interviewee the right to have a support person
- Calm and caring atmosphere; not rushed
- Address concerns first
- Organize interview
 - Safety concerns/assessment
 - Support measures
 - Evidence preservation

Trauma Informed Interviews

- Allow witness to begin where they feel comfortable and are able
- Avoid blaming, leading, implying doubt
- Focus on what witness recalls (before, during, after)

Documentation

- Notes
- Summary of Notes
- Inculpatory
- Exculpatory
- Irrelevant

Thank You!



NACUA materials, PowerPoint slides and recordings available as part of this program are offered as educational materials for higher education lawyers and administrators. They are prepared by presenters and are not reviewed for legal content by NACUA. They express the legal opinions and interpretations of the authors.

Answers to legal questions often depend on specific facts, and state and local laws, as well as institutional policies and practices. The materials, PowerPoint slides and comments of the presenters should not be used as legal advice. Any hypothetical scenarios presented are based on fictional facts and persons. Any hypothetical scenarios presented are based on fictional facts and persons. Legal questions should be directed to institutional legal counsel.

Those wishing to re-use the materials, PowerPoint slides or recordings should contact NACUA (nacua@nacua.org) prior to any re-use.